

Boston's Public Research University

Office of Institutional Research, Assessment, and Planning

Research Brief

November 2017

www.umb.edu/oirap

Factors and Techniques for Projecting Enrollment

Accurate enrollment forecasting is crucial for effective fiscal and program planning at any higher education institution that relies on revenue generation from student enrollment. Scholars have identified different factors and techniques for forecasting student enrollment. The purpose of this report is to list some of those factors and techniques to provide guidance to the UMass Boston leaders in choosing the factors and a technique that would be appropriate for the institution.

Enrollment projection models are intricate as there are many different factors to consider and techniques to choose from for an accurate estimation. Factors may vary based on the type of the institution (private vs. public), the purpose of the enrollment prediction (budgeting vs. staffing), the types of enrollment (full-time vs. part-time), and so on. Techniques may vary based on the data availability, purpose, population sub-group that behaves differently, an acceptable level of accuracy, and so on. Appropriateness of the factors and techniques may vary from state to state, school to school, or even in different schools in the same city. A list of the underlying factors that drive the quantitative methods of enrollment projection modeling is presented below.

Unmanageable and Manageable Factors

Brinkman & McIntyre (1997)¹ classified the factors affecting enrollment into two primary groups: those that are manageable and those that are not. Unmanageable factors are those "outside the institution that are typically associated with demand analysis," i.e., external environment (Table 1). Manageable factors are the internal actions that are normally in the control of the institution (Table 1).

which students undergo their college experiences

- Anything that may affect how students evaluate

the investment and consumption benefits of

attending an institution can influence their

decisions to attend (or to stay enrolled)

Unmanageable Factors					
Demographic Factors - Population's age structure - Racial and ethnic composition - Skill levels - Prior education experience - Total inhabitants - Shifts in the location and existence of geographical constraints on transportation for the commuter schools		Econom - Disposable income - Unemployment rat - The general econo education - The demand for, ar specific areas - Economic cycle - Institutions budget	tic Factors es of potential students es omic returns to college nd return to, training in	Action of Competitors - Substitute institutions' manageable factors, e.g., tuition and fees, financial aid, admissions policies, changes in programs, and when and where they deliver services	
Social and Cultural Factors - Change in the role of women in the society - Generational differences in test scores, use of technology, and learning styles		Public Policy - Legislatively set tuition and fees - Admissions criteria - Degree requirements - Other policies that alter the public's preferences for higher education generally or for specific institutions			
Manageable Factors					
Pricing	Institutional Policies		Campus Climate		
- Tuition	- Marketing effort		- Student and other support services, such as		
- Fees	- Admission policies and practices		counseling and placement		
- Residence hall costs	- Registration and course enrollment		- Adequacy of facilities		
- Financial aid - Academic proba		ation and dismissal	- The appearance of the campus		
Ouality of Education policies			- The general academic and social environment in		

Table 1: Unmanageable and Manageable Factors

Source: Brinkman, P. T., & McIntyre, C. (1997). Methods and techniques of enrollment forecasting

- Location and scheduling of programs

- Addition and deletion of programs and

- Curriculum

- Length of programs

courses

- Student outcome obtained

Employment

- Institution's rating

data

from

after graduation

Other Common Factors

Retention: past trend in retention^{2,3} is one of the must-have components as the retained students make up the highest percentage of enrollment.⁴ Retention may vary in different colleges in the same institution or different majors within the same college. One model uses a cohort retention method to project the number of returning undergraduate students for upcoming years by using trend analyses that includes predicting the number of continuing students who—1) will be promoted to the next year-in-school, 2) will return in the same year-in-school, and 3) return to the institution after an absence of more than the previous summer session,⁵ i.e., re-admitted students.

The Number of High School Graduates: the number of high school graduates^{6,7} has an impact on college enrollment. Historical trends and projections of future graduates have been used⁸ for enrollment projection. Racial/ethnic categories should be carefully examined for private and public school graduates as they may significantly depend on the population of a region.

Past trends in recruitment⁹ and migration statistics such, as state and regional net in-migration and out-migration of students affect student enrollment.¹⁰ The rate of increase in college tuition relative to the growth in family income, trends in federal and state financial aid, and employment

prospects of recent graduates are responsible for changing enrollment patterns.¹¹ The list of factors affecting enrollment can become longer and more complex when various uncertain external factors occur. For example, antiimmigration policies affecting the children from the families of the undocumented immigrants¹² or domestic or international crises and changes in federal or state government policies affecting a given institution.¹³

Commonly Used Techniques

Curve-fitting (trend analyses) and causal models (explanatory, structural, and econometric) are the two quantitative approaches most commonly used for projecting enrollment.¹⁴ The curve-fitting technique has been widely used, especially by the state forecasters, as this technique requires only the historical data, that is, historical information about enrollment patterns (Table 2).¹⁵

Curve-fitting techniques or trend analyses assume that the effect of political, social and economic trends in student enrollment in the previous years will continue to affect in the future by viewing enrollment as a function of time alone.¹⁶ Although using this technique to find "patterns" is useful when conditions are expected to be alike for example, continuous growth,¹⁷ the causal model is more accurate as it takes the cause and effect relationships between independent factors and enrollment patterns into account (Table 4).¹⁸

Table 2: List of Commonly	Used Curve-Fitting Techniques
---------------------------	-------------------------------

Curve-fitting Techniques				
Technique	Description	Limitations and Assumptions		
Simple	Uses the mean of past enrollments as the	Generally not a good choice because enrollment is not		
averages	enrollment forecast for the next time period.	consistent from year to year.		
	Depending on the availability of past			
	enrollment data, the average can be based on			
	long or short time periods.			
Moving	This is similar to simple averages technique,	Appropriate for short-range forecasting: less rigid than		
averages	except that a fixed number of past enrollment	simple averages. As enrollment trends become more		
	figures are used to estimate future enrollments.	pronounced, fewer data points should be included. In		
		times of continued expansion (or contraction) of		
	1 '.' C '	enrollments, moving-average technique is inappropriate.		
Exponential	is a variation of averaging techniques; most	Appropriate for snort-range forecasting; similar		
smootning	most heavily and each successively earlier date	anticulties as averaging techniques during periods of		
	point is weighted less than the previous one	continued expansion (of contraction) of enforment.		
Polynomial	Uses a standard least squares estimation for	No guarantee that the curve will not change shape		
models	three orders of polynomials: linear quadratic	substantially for the forecast years. Numbers of data		
models	or some more complex order	points must be at least equal to the number of parameters		
	or some more complex order.	to be estimated. Difficult to determine beforehand		
		appropriate polynomial order.		
Exponential	Parameters are multiplied together rather than	Reflects more accurately some situations in which rate of		
models	added.	growth or shrinkage of enrollment is constant.		
Spectral	Is a special form of the polynomial model	Usually inappropriate for enrollment projections because		
analysis	using trigonometric functions (sine and cosine)	it requires a minimum or approximately 25 historical data		
	to replace "t".	points.		

Source: copied from Kraetsch, G. A. (1979). Methodology and Limitations of Ohio Enrollment



Source: Google Images

Table 4: List of Commonly Used Co	ausal Models	
-----------------------------------	--------------	--

Causal Models					
Technique	Description	Limitations and Assumptions			
Cohort-	Identifies a group of individuals with common traits,	Assumes that net migration, mortality, and school			
survival	such as grade level or year of birth. This group is	attendance patterns will remain stable over time.			
techniques	aged through the educational system by the:				
	-grade-progression or class-succession method; or				
Datio	-age-survival method.	Lass accurate then achart survival techniques			
mathods	the total population by age groups. These	Less accurate than conort-survival techniques			
memous	extrapolated values of ratios are then used for	which are compiled with historical data			
	enrollment projections.	which are complied with instorical data.			
Markov	Uses a transition matrix to estimate numbers of	Assumes that enrollments in one year are			
transition	students enrolled at each level in the next time	dependent only on enrollments of the previous			
model	period. Model is applied successively for forecasting	year. Can design student flow models.			
	purposes.				
Multiple	Determines relationship between enrollments	Permits development of econometric models of			
correlation	(dependent variable) and one or more independent	student behavior patterns (e.g., income, tuition,			
and .	variables, such as high school graduates, per capita	draft laws).			
regression	income, ethnic background, and student demand				
methods	estimation. Includes autocorrelation and				
Doth	Extension of multiple correlation and regression	Rost suited for student demand and not direct			
r aui- analytical	models except uses a priori identification of causal	enrollment projections			
models	relationships	entonment projections.			
Systems of	Uses a series of equations to link different parameters	Few models developed			
equations	of interest, such as optimization, simulation or	rett models developed.			
-Turton)	student flow models.				

Source: copied from Kraetsch, G. A. (1979). Methodology and Limitations of Ohio Enrollment Projections

Time Series Analysis

Box-Jenkins (ARIMA): auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) involves three basic parameters: 1) the amount of autocorrelation, 2) the level of systematic change over time, and 3) the component for including a moving average of the time based points. This model requires

longitudinal data with a minimum of forty-five or sixty data points to achieve highly accurate forecasting.¹⁹

Fuzzy time series: this model can be constructed for a nonlinear pattern of enrollment forecasts in which the values of the time series are linguistic terms represented by fuzzy sets. It is more of a data mining approach that is more

frequently used to forecast enrollment rather than offers the explanation of enrollment changes.²⁰

Qualitative methods and **subjective judgment** have also been used for forecasting student enrollment where the subjective estimates of influential factors can be implemented when an objective or mathematical model is unavailable²¹. Lastly, many higher education institutions use a **combination of quantitative and qualitative** approaches for forecasting enrollment.

Linear Trend Analyses for UMass Boston Enrollment Projection

Dr. James J. Hughes, Associate Provost for Institutional Research, Assessment, and Planning created a pilot model for projecting enrollment in Fall 2016. This model employed three widely used techniques for trend analyses: linear (i.e., a straight line of best fit to time series historical data using the method of least squares), smoothed linear (i.e., moving averages technique added to the linear model for reducing or smoothing out the effect of random variations or irregular roughness in the time series data), and adjusted trends (adjusted for seasonal components such as spring enrollment as a percent of fall enrollment and trend component such as underlying techniques like averaging). This pilot model used these curve-fitting techniques at various levels of disaggregation of student types as well as took a number of factors that influence student enrollment at UMass Boston into account. Nevertheless, these techniques have produced a misleadingly optimistic enrollment projection.

We believe that the factors and techniques presented above have strong potentials for improving the current pilot model.

This list is compiled by the OIRAP Research Analyst Fatema Binte Ahad from the existing literature.

Acknowledgements: Dr. James J. Hughes and Dr. Douglas Ducharme.

⁸ University of California. (2010). Undergraduate Enrollment Demand Projection Methods. Retrieved from: http://www.ucop.edu/institutionalresearch-academic-planning/_files/apdx3.pdf

⁹ Office of the Registrar, Iowa State University. (2000). Enrollment Projection Methodology.

¹¹ ibid

¹² Pivovarova, M., & Vagi, R. (2016). Better schools or different students? Immigration reform and school performance in Arizona. Brookings. Retrieved from:https://www.brookings.edu/blog/brown-centerchalkboard/2016/04/15/better-schools-or-different-studentsimmigration reform and school performance in gripped.

¹⁴ Brinkman, P. T., & McIntyre, C. (1997). Methods and techniques of enrollment forecasting. *New directions for institutional research*, *1997*(93), 67-80.

¹⁵ Kraetsch, G. A. (1979). Methodology and Limitations of Ohio Enrollment Projections. The AIR Professional File, No. 4, Winter 1979-80.

¹⁶ ibid

¹⁷ Brinkman, P. T., & McIntyre, C. (1997). Methods and techniques of enrollment forecasting. *New directions for institutional research*, *1997*(93), 67-80.

¹⁸ Kraetsch, G. A. (1979). Methodology and Limitations of Ohio Enrollment Projections. The AIR Professional File, No. 4, Winter 1979-80.

¹⁹ Chen, C-K. (2008). An Integrated Enrollment Forecast Model. Association for Institutional Research, IR Applications, V. 15.

²⁰ ibid

²¹ ibid

¹ Brinkman, P. T., & McIntyre, C. (1997). Methods and techniques of enrollment forecasting. *New directions for institutional research*, 1997(93), 67-80.

² Office of the Registrar, Iowa State University. (2000). Enrollment Projection Methodology.

³ Institutional Knowledge Management, University of Central Florida. Enrollment Projection Model. Retrieved from: https://ikm.ucf.edu/files/2014/04/10.2015-SAIR-Enrollment-Projection-Model.pdf

⁴ Redlinger, L. J., Etheredge, S., & Wiorkowski, J. (2013). Using Applications, Admissions Data to Forecast Enrollment. Presented at the annual meeting Association for Institutional Research Long Beach, California May, 18-22, 2013.

⁵ ibid

⁶ Chen, C-K. (2008). An Integrated Enrollment Forecast Model. Association for Institutional Research, IR Applications, V. 15.

⁷ Clagett, C. A. (1989). Credit Headcount Forecast for Fall 1989-90: Component Yield Method Projections. Planning Brief PB90-3.

¹⁰ Chen, C-K. (2008). An Integrated Enrollment Forecast Model. Association for Institutional Research, IR Applications, V. 15.

immigration-reform-and-school-performance-in-arizona/

¹³ Chen, C-K. (2008). An Integrated Enrollment Forecast Model. Association for Institutional Research, IR Applications, V. 15.