

NSSE 2014 Topical Module Experiences with Information Literacy

University of Massachusetts Boston

This page intentionally left blank.



Administration Summary University of Massachusetts Boston

About This Topical Module

Developed in collaboration with college and university librarians, this module asks students about their use of information and how much their instructors emphasized the proper use of information sources. This module complements questions on the core survey about higher-order learning and how much writing students do.

Comparison Group

This section summarizes how this module's comparison group was identified, including selection criteria and whether the default option was taken. This is followed by the resulting list of institutions represented in the 'Public 4-Year Inst' column of this report.

Group label	Public 4-Year Inst
Date submitted	6/12/14
How was this comparison group	Your institution customized this group by selecting institutional characteristics as follows:
constructed?	Sector (Pub)
Group description	Public 4-year institutions responding to the "Information Literacy" module.

'Public 4-Year Inst' institutions (N=28)

California State Polytechnic University-Pomona (Pomona, CA)

California State University, San Bernardino (San Bernardino, CA)

Eastern Connecticut State University (Willimantic, CT)

Longwood University (Farmville, VA)

Mississippi University for Women (Columbus, MS)

Northwestern Oklahoma State University (Alva, OK)

Ohio University (Athens, OH)

Peru State College (Peru, NE)

San Jose State University (San Jose, CA)

SUNY Empire State College (Saratoga Springs, NY)

The State University of New York at Potsdam (Potsdam, NY)

The University of New Orleans (New Orleans, LA)

The University of Tennessee Martin (Martin, TN)

Towson University (Towson, MD)

United States Air Force Academy (USAFA, CO)

United States Naval Academy (Annapolis, MD)

University of Baltimore (Baltimore, MD)

University of Central Florida (Orlando, FL)

University of Louisiana at Lafayette (Lafayette, LA)

University of Maine at Machias (Machias, ME)

University of Massachusetts Amherst (Amherst, MA)

University of Montevallo (Montevallo, AL)

University of Northern Iowa (Cedar Falls, IA)

University of Puerto Rico in Ponce (Ponce, PR)

Washington State University (Pullman, WA)

West Texas A&M University (Canyon, TX)

William Paterson University of New Jersey (Wayne, NJ)

Worcester State University (Worcester, MA)



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Massachusetts Boston

First-Year Students

				Frequency Distributions ^a		Statistical Comparisons ^b				
				UMass Bos	ton	Public 4-Yea	r Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-Ye	
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d
1. During the current school year, a	about how of	ften have y	ou done the following?							
a. Completed an assignment that used	INL01a	1	Never	1	1	168	2			
an information source (book, article, Web site, etc.) other than		2	Sometimes	25	18	1,834	18			
required course readings		3	Often	44	32	3,820	36	3.3	3.2	.08
required course readings		4	Very often	72	49	4,820	44			
			Total	142	100	10,642	100			
b. Worked on a paper or project that	INL01b	1	Never	2	1	505	5			
had multiple smaller assignments		2	Sometimes	22	16	2,676	25			
such as an outline, annotated bibliography, rough draft, etc.		3	Often	51	38	4,045	38	3.3	3.0 ***	.33
bibliography, fough trait, etc.		4	Very often	69	45	3,407	32		A	
			Total	144	100	10,633	100			
c. Received feedback from an	INL01c	1	Never	3	2	592	5			
instructor that improved your use		2	Sometimes	31	22	2,914	28			
of information resources (source		3	Often	42	31	4,118	39	3.2	2.9 ***	.33
selection, proper citation, etc.)		4	Very often	66	45	2,985	28			
			Total	142	100	10,609	100			
d. Completed an assignment that used	INL01d	1	Never	11	8	1,793	17			
the library's electronic collection of		2	Sometimes	34	24	3,495	33			
articles, books, and journals		3	Often	43	31	2,949	28	3.0	2.6 ***	.41
(JSTOR, EBSCO, LexisNexis, ProQuest, etc.)		4	Very often	56	37	2,380	23	2.0	2.0	
FloQuest, etc.)			Total	144	100		100			
e. Decided not to use an information	INL01e	1	Never	36	26	,	23			
source in a course assignment due		2	Sometimes	46	33	,	39			
to its questionable quality		3	Often	36	25	,	25	2.3	2.3	.06
		4	Very often	25	17	1,402	13	2.5	2.3	.00
		,	Total	143	100	10,622	100			
f. Changed the focus of a paper or	INL01f	1	Never	16	100	·	16			
project based on information you	II (LOII	2	Sometimes	61	43	4,394	42			
found while researching the topic		3	Often	38	28		28	2.6	2.4	1.6
		4	Very often	28	19	1,519	14	2.0	2.4	.16
		7	Total	143	100	,	100			
g. Looked for a reference that was	INL01g	1	Never	20	13		16			
cited in something you read	inLoig	2	Sometimes	38	27	3,946	38			
		2			32			2.0		
		3	Often	45			30	2.8	2.5 ***	.29
		4	Very often	41	28		17		Δ	
1 71 (6 11 1 1 6 1	DW 011		Total	144	100	*	100			
 Identified how a book, article, or creative work has contributed to a 	INL01h	1	Never	26	17		20			
field of study		2	Sometimes	41	29	3,950	38	0.5		
•		3	Often	39	28		27	2.6	2.4 **	.25
		4	Very often	38	26		15		Δ	
			Total	144	100	10,568	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Massachusetts Boston

First-Year Students

				Frequency Distributions ^a		Statistical Comparisons ^b				
				UMass Bos	ton	Public 4-Yea	r Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-	Year Inst
	Variable									Effect
Item wording or description	name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size ^d
2. During the current school year,	how much ha	ve your in	structors emphasized the	e following?						
a. Not plagiarizing another author's	INL02a	1	Very little	6	5	147	2			
work		2	Some	13	10	655	7			
		3	Quite a bit	16	12	2,044	19	3.5	3.6	14
		4	Very much	108	73	7,801	73			
			Total	143	100	10,647	100			
b. Appropriately citing the sources	INL02b	1	Very little	2	2	186	2			
used in a paper or project		2	Some	14	10	907	9			
		3	Quite a bit	21	16	2,637	26	3.6	3.5	.11
		4	Very much	106	72	6,889	63			
			Total	143	100	10,619	100			
c. Using scholarly or peer-reviewed sources in your course assignments	INL02c	1	Very little	6	4	418	4			
		2	Some	20	15	1,535	15			
		3	Quite a bit	28	20	3,003	29	3.4	3.3	.09
		4	Very much	87	60	5,635	52			
			Total	141	100	10,591	100			
d. Questioning the quality of	INL02d	1	Very little	12	9	544	6			
information sources		2	Some	23	16	1,991	19			
		3	Quite a bit	29	22	3,148	31	3.2	3.1	.07
		4	Very much	79	54	4,887	44			
			Total	143	100	10,570	100			
e. Using practices (terminology,	INL02e	1	Very little	14	10	839	8			
methods, writing style, etc.) of a		2	Some	31	22	2,376	23			
specific major or field of study		3	Quite a bit	32	22	3,017	29	3.0	3.0	.04
		4	Very much	66	45	4,285	40			
			Total	143	100	10,517	100			
3. How much has your experience	at this institu	tion contr	ibuted to your knowledge	e. skills, and r	erso	nal develonm	ent in	using information	effective	lv?
or man man your experience	INL03	1	Very little	c, skiiis, aira p	3		3			.,,
		2	Some	26	18		18			
		3	Quite a bit	61	43	· ·	46	3.1	3.1	.03
		4	Very much	50	36	· ·	33	3.1	3.1	.03
		-1	Total	142	100	- ,	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Massachusetts Boston

Seniors

				Frequency Distributions ^a		Statistical Comparisons ^b				
			UMass Bos	ton	Public 4-Yea	r Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-		
Item wording or description	Variable name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	Effect size ^d
1. During the current school year, a	about how of	ften have y	ou done the following?							
a. Completed an assignment that used	INL01a	1	Never	6	3	287	2			
an information source (book, article, Web site, etc.) other than		2	Sometimes	36	18	2,123	14			
required course readings		3	Often	45	20	4,516	29	3.4	3.4	04
required course readings		4	Very often	132	59	9,159	56			
			Total	219	100	16,085	100			
b. Worked on a paper or project that	INL01b	1	Never	20	10	1,166	7			
had multiple smaller assignments		2	Sometimes	49	23	4,000	25			
such as an outline, annotated bibliography, rough draft, etc.		3	Often	66	30	5,152	32	3.0	2.9	.01
olollography, rough drait, etc.		4	Very often	85	38	5,756	35			
			Total	220	100	16,074	100			
c. Received feedback from an	INL01c	1	Never	26	12	1,271	8			
instructor that improved your use		2	Sometimes	54	25	4,636	30			
of information resources (source selection, proper citation, etc.)		3	Often	69	32	5,452	34	2.8	2.8	.00
selection, proper chanon, etc.)		4	Very often	70	31	4,669	28			
			Total	219	100	16,028	100			
d. Completed an assignment that used	INL01d	1	Never	21	10	1,937	13			
the library's electronic collection of		2	Sometimes	47	21	4,123	26			
articles, books, and journals		3	Often	66	30	4,226	26	3.0	2.8 *	.13
(JSTOR, EBSCO, LexisNexis, ProQuest, etc.)		4	Very often	86	38	5,763	35		Δ	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			Total	220	100	16,049	100			
e. Decided not to use an information	INL01e	1	Never	83	37	3,938	25			
source in a course assignment due		2	Sometimes	68	31	6,046	38			
to its questionable quality		3	Often	43	20	3,609	22	2.1	2.3 **	20
		4	Very often	26	12	2,446	15		∇	
			Total	220	100	16,039	100		,	
f. Changed the focus of a paper or	INL01f	1	Never	43	20	2,610	17			
project based on information you		2	Sometimes	82	38	6,872	43			
found while researching the topic		3	Often	57	26	4,103	25	2.4	2.4	.01
		4	Very often	36	16	2,439	15		2	.01
			Total	218	100	16,024	100			
g. Looked for a reference that was	INL01g	1	Never	26	12	·	13			
cited in something you read	Ü	2	Sometimes	66	31		35			
		3	Often	66	29		30	2.7	2.6	.13
		4	Very often	60	28		21		2.0	.13
			Total	218	100		100			
h. Identified how a book, article, or	INL01h	1	Never	41	19	·	18			
creative work has contributed to a		2	Sometimes	71	33		36			
field of study		3	Often	49	22		28	2.5	2.5	07
		4	Very often	58	26	· ·	19	4.5	2.5	.07
		7	Total	219	100		100			
			10141	219	100	10,001	100			



Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons University of Massachusetts Boston

Seniors

				Frequency Distributions ^a		Statistical Comparisons				
				UMass Bos	ton	Public 4-Year	· Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-	Year Inst
	Variable									Effect
Item wording or description	name	Values ^c	Response options	Count	%	Count	%	Mean	Mean	size ^d
2. During the current school year,	how much ha	ve your in	structors emphasized the	following?						
a. Not plagiarizing another author's	INL02a	1	Very little	10	5	482	3			
work		2	Some	18	9	1,244	8			
		3	Quite a bit	40	18	3,074	20	3.5	3.5	07
		4	Very much	152	68	11,286	69			
			Total	220	100	16,086	100			
b. Appropriately citing the sources	INL02b	1	Very little	6	3	564	4			
used in a paper or project		2	Some	25	12	1,601	11			
		3	Quite a bit	45	21	3,706	24	3.5	3.4	.04
		4	Very much	144	64	10,168	61			
			Total	220	100	16,039	100			
c. Using scholarly or peer-reviewed sources in your course assignments	INL02c	1	Very little	15	7	943	6			
		2	Some	28	14	2,042	14			
		3	Quite a bit	52	24	3,834	25	3.3	3.3	02
		4	Very much	124	55	9,191	55			
			Total	219	100	16,010	100			
d. Questioning the quality of	INL02d	1	Very little	21	10	1,460	10			
information sources		2	Some	54	25	3,228	21			
		3	Quite a bit	53	24	4,328	28	3.0	3.0	04
		4	Very much	90	41	6,959	42			
			Total	218	100	15,975	100			
e. Using practices (terminology,	INL02e	1	Very little	14	7	927	6			
methods, writing style, etc.) of a		2	Some	42	20	2,743	18			
specific major or field of study		3	Quite a bit	60	28	4,439	29	3.1	3.2	06
		4	Very much	98	46	7,788	48			
			Total	214	100	15,897	100			
3. How much has your experience	at this institu	tion contr	ihuted to your knowledg	e skills and r	erso	nal develonm	ent in I	using information	effective	lv?
or more made has your experience	INL03	1	Very little	e, skiiis, ariu p 9	4		2	asing information	Circuive	., .
		2	Some	37	17		13			
		3	Ouite a bit	80	37	,	39	3.2	3.3 *	15
		4	Very much	94	42	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	46	J.4	3.3 [∞]	13
		7	Total	220	100	.,-	100		٧	



Detailed Statistics^e University of Massachusetts Boston

First-Year Students

						Star	dard			Effect
	N	Mean		Standa	rd error ^f	devi	ation ^g	DF ^h	Sig.	size ^d
Variable								Сотр	arisons with	:
name	UMass Boston	UMass Boston	Public 4-Year Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-Year Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-Year Inst	Public	4-Year Inst	·
INL01a	142	3.29	3.23	.07	.01	0.79	0.80	4,783	.365	.08
INL01b	143	3.26	2.97	.06	.01	0.77	0.88	4,777	.000	.33
INL01c	141	3.18	2.90	.07	.01	0.86	0.87	4,767	.000	.33
INL01d	143	2.97	2.56	.08	.01	0.97	1.02	152	.000	.41
INL01e	142	2.33	2.27	.09	.01	1.04	0.96	149	.542	.06
INL01f	143	2.55	2.40	.08	.01	0.91	0.92	4,763	.058	.16
INL01g	143	2.75	2.48	.08	.01	1.01	0.95	4,762	.001	.29
INL01h	143	2.63	2.38	.09	.01	1.05	0.97	150	.006	.25
INL021	142	3.53	3.63	.07	.01	0.87	0.68	146	.200	14
INL02b	142	3.58	3.50	.06	.01	0.75	0.74	4,771	.180	.11
INL02c	140	3.36	3.29	.08	.01	0.90	0.87	4,758	.320	.09
INL02d	142	3.20	3.14	.08	.01	1.00	0.92	149	.459	.07
INL02e	142	3.04	3.00	.09	.01	1.04	0.98	4,724	.657	.04
INL03	141	3.11	3.09	.07	.01	0.81	0.78	4,756	.729	.03



Detailed Statistics^e University of Massachusetts Boston

Seniors

						Stan	dard			Effect
	N	Mean		Standa	rd error ^f	devia	ation ^g	DF ^h	Sig.i	size ^d
Variable								Comp	arisons with	:
name	UMass Boston	UMass Boston	Public 4-Year Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-Year Inst	UMass Boston	Public 4-Year Inst	Public	Public 4-Year Inst	
INL01a	218	3.35	3.38	.06	.01	0.88	0.79	227	.595	04
INL01b	219	2.96	2.95	.07	.01	0.99	0.95	8,352	.873	.01
INL01c	218	2.82	2.82	.07	.01	1.01	0.94	8,331	.989	.00
INL01d	219	2.97	2.83	.07	.01	1.01	1.04	231	.050	.13
INL01e	219	2.07	2.26	.07	.01	1.03	0.99	8,335	.004	20
INL01f	217	2.38	2.37	.07	.01	0.98	0.93	8,326	.830	.01
INL01g	217	2.72	2.60	.07	.01	1.00	0.96	8,325	.053	.13
INL01h	218	2.55	2.48	.07	.01	1.08	0.99	227	.382	.07
INL021	219	3.49	3.55	.06	.01	0.86	0.77	228	.323	07
INL02b	219	3.46	3.43	.06	.01	0.82	0.83	8,336	.559	.04
INL02c	218	3.27	3.29	.06	.01	0.95	0.93	8,320	.757	02
INL02d	217	2.97	3.01	.07	.01	1.03	1.01	8,299	.529	04
INL02e	213	3.12	3.17	.07	.01	0.96	0.93	8,259	.412	06
INL03	219	3.17	3.28	.06	.01	0.86	0.77	8,327	.027	15



Endnotes University of Massachusetts Boston

Endnotes

- a. Column percentages are weighted by gender and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding. Counts are unweighted; column percentages cannot be replicated from counts.
- b. All statistics are weighted by gender and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Unless otherwise noted, statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Items with categorical response sets are left blank.
- c. These are the values used to calculate means. For the majority of items, these values match the codes in the data file and codebook.
- d. Effect size for independent t-tests uses Cohen's d.
- e. Statistics are weighted by gender and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups). Categorical items are not listed.
- f. The 95% confidence interval for the population mean is equal to the sample mean plus or minus 1.96 times the standard error of the mean.
- g. A measure of the amount individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.
- h. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values differ from Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.
- Statistical comparisons are two-tailed independent t-tests. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between your students' mean and that of the comparison group is due to chance.

Key to symbols:

- ▲ Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- \triangle Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- ∇ Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Your students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.