

University of Massachusetts Boston



About This Report

About Your High-Impact Practices Report

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." High-Impact Practices (HIPs) share several traits: They demand considerable time and effort, facilitate learning outside of the classroom, require meaningful interactions with faculty and students, encourage collaboration with diverse others, and provide frequent and substantive feedback. As a result, participation in these practices can be life-changing (Kuh, 2008). NSSE founding director George Kuh recommends that institutions should aspire for all students to participate in at least two HIPs over the course of their undergraduate experience—one during the first year and one in the context of their major (NSSE, 2007).

NSSE asks students about their participation in the six HIPs shown in the box at right. Unlike most questions on the NSSE survey, the HIP questions are not limited to the current school year. Thus, senior students' responses include participation from prior years.

High-Impact Practices in NSSE

Service-Learning Courses that included a community-based project

Learning Community

Formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

Research with Faculty Work with a faculty member on a research project

Internship or Field Experience Internship, co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical placement

Study Abroad

Culminating Senior Experience Capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.

Report Sections

Participation Comparisons (p. 3)	Displays HIP participation for your students compared with that of students at your compariso group institutions. Two views present insights into your students' HIP participation:				
	Overall HIP Participation				
	Displays the percentage of students who participated in one HIP and in two or more HIPs, relative to those at your comparison group institutions.				
	Statistical Comparisons				
	Comparisons of participation in each HIP and overall for your students relative to those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes.				
Response Detail (pp. 4-5)	Provides complete response frequencies for the relevant HIP questions for your students and those at your comparison group institutions. First-year results include a summary of their expectations for future HIP participation.				
Participation by Student Characteristics (p. 6)	Displays your students' participation in each HIP by selected student characteristics.				

Interpreting Comparisons

HIP participation varies more among students within an institution than it does between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on overall participation rates amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement (including HIP participation) varies *within* your institution. The table on page 6 provides an initial look at how HIP participation varies by selected student characteristics. The Report Builder—Institution Version and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer further perspectives on internal variation and can help you investigate your students' HIP participation in depth.

Kuh, G. D. (2008). High-impact educational practices: What they are, who has access to them, and why they matter. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities. National Survey of Student Engagement (2007). Experiences that matter: Enhancing student learning and success—Annual Report 2007. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research.


Rocconi, L., & Gonyea, R. M. (2015, May). Contextualizing student engagement effect sizes: An empirical analysis. Paper presented at the Association for Institutional Research Annual Forum, Denver, CO.



NSSE 2017 High-Impact Practices Participation Comparisons University of Massachusetts Boston

Overall HIP Participation

The figures below display the percentage of students who participated in High-Impact Practices. Both figures include participation in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty. The senior figure also includes participation in an internship or field experience, study abroad, and culminating senior experience. The first segment in each bar shows the percentage who participated in at least two HIPs, and the full bar (both colors) represents the percentage who participated in at least one.



Statistical Comparisons

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in a given High-Impact Practice, including the percentage who participated overall (at least one, two or more). It also graphs the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison groups. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is compared to the comparison group percentages appear on the following pages.)

		Your students' participation compared with:						
	UMass Boston	Presidential Pe	ers	Urban Peers		4-year Public Inst.		
First-year	%	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a	ES ^b	Difference ^a		ES ^b
12. Service-Learning	49	+2	.04	-2	05	-2		04
11c. Learning Community	22	+7	** .19	+9	*** .24	+7	***	.19
11e. Research with Faculty	3	-2	10	-1	05	-2		09
Participated in at least one	61	+6	.11	+4	.08	+3		.06
Participated in two or more	11	+2	.06	+2	.06	+0		.01
Senior								
12. Service-Learning	58	+1	.02	-2	05	-1		01
11c. Learning Community	20	-3	07	-2	04	-3		08
11e. Research with Faculty	22	-2	05	+3	.07	-1		03
11a. Internship or Field Exp.	40	-9	**17	-7	*14	-9	***	18
11d. Study Abroad	8	+0	.02	-2	07	-4	*	14
11f. Culminating Senior Exp.	40	-1	02	-2	04	-3		06
Participated in at least one	82	-0	01	-2	05	-3		08
Participated in two or more	53	-4	09	-5	10	-7	**	15

a. Percentage point differences (institution - comp. group) rounded to whole numbers. Values less than one may not display a bar and may be shown as +0 or -0.

b. Cohen's *h* (standardized difference between two proportions). Effect sizes indicate the practical importance of observed differences. For service-learning, internships, study abroad, and culminating senior experiences, an ES of about .2 may be considered small, .5 medium, and .8 large. For learning community

and research with faculty, an ES of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2015).

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (*z*-test comparing participation rates).


Note: Participation includes the percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a community-based project. All results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and by institution size for comparison groups).



Response Detail

University of Massachusetts Boston

First-Year Students



Plans to Participate^a

Knowing whether first-year students *plan* to participate in upper-division HIPs can reveal insights about HIP demand, awareness of opportunities, and the clarity of institutional information. These results might also point to topics for additional exploration, such as what contributes to students' expectations, their assumptions about who can participate, or why other students are undecided or have no plans to participate in the activity.

Participate in an internship, **Study Abroad** co-op, field experience, student teaching, or clinical Participate in a study abroad placement. program. **UMass Boston** 72 43 Presidential Peers 73 37 **Urban Peers** 74 40 4-year Public Inst. 75 41


Internship or Field

Experience

Percentage responding "Plan to do"

Culminating Senior Experience

Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.).



a. Refer to your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons for details on the other response options.

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Response Detail

University of Massachusetts Boston

Seniors

Service-Learning		% Most or all	% Some	% None	
About how many of your courses at this	UMass Boston	12	46	42	
institution have	Presidential Peers	11	47	43	
included a community- based project (service-	Urban Peers	12	49	39	
learning)?	4-year Public Inst.	11	48	41	
Learning Community	/	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or	UMass Boston	20	13	18	49
	Presidential Peers	23	11	16	50
	Urban Peers	22	12	15	52
more classes together.	4-year Public Inst.	23	10	14	53
Research with a Fac	ulty Member				
Work with a faculty	UMass Boston	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
member on a research project.	Presidential Peers	24	15	17	44
I J	Urban Peers	19	15	18	48
	4-year Public Inst.	23	13	16	48
Internship or Field E	vnorionco	I	I		I
Participate in an		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
internship, co-op, field experience, student	UMass Boston	40	32	11	17
teaching, or clinical placement.	Presidential Peers Urban Peers	48	27	10	15
	4-year Public Inst.	46	28	10	16
	4-year Public list.	49	20	9	16
Study Abroad		% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Participate in a study abroad program.	UMass Boston	8	9	12	71
I G	Presidential Peers	7	9	14	69
	Urban Peers	10	9	14	67
	4-year Public Inst.	12	8	12	68
Culminating Senior I	Experience	% Done or in progress	% Plan to do	% Have not decided	% Do not plan to do
Complete a culminating senior experience	UMass Boston	40	32	9	19
(capstone course, senior	Presidential Peers	41	24	12	22
project or thesis					
project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.).	Urban Peers	42	26	11	21

Note: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).



Participation by Student Characteristics

University of Massachusetts Boston

Participation in High-Impact Practices by Student Characteristics

The table below displays the percentage of your students who participated in each HIP by selected student characteristics. Examining participation rates for different groups offers insight into how engagement varies within your student population.

	First-year				Senior					
	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Service- Learning	Learning Community	Research with Faculty	Internship or Field Experience	Study Abroad	Culminating Senior Experience	
Sex ^a	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Female	43	23	3	65	24	23	47	11	44	
Male	54	22	3	50	16	22	30	4	36	
Race/ethnicity or international ^a										
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian	57	23	6	74	31	28	36	8	46	
Black or African American	54	24	5	75	16	13	37	4	37	
Hispanic or Latino	46	18	0	66	30	14	46	18	50	
Native Hawaiian/Other Pac. Islander	-	-	_	-	22	-	_	_	_	
White Other	40	29	4	52	22	26	46	9	39	
Foreign or nonresident alien	48	9	0	69	13	25	24	13	44	
Two or more races/ethnicities	36	18	0	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Age Traditional (FY < 21, Seniors < 25)	48	25	3	65	30	32	49	11	48	
Nontraditional (FY 21+, Seniors 25+)	50	8	4	52	12	13	34	7	34	
First-generation ^b			-							
Not first-generation	37	24	2	52	24	26	43	13	44	
First-generation	57	23	3	64	18	20	40	6	39	
Enrollment status ^a										
Not full-time	63	0	6	51	7	7	28	6	30	
Full-time	46	24	3	63	26	27	46	10	45	
Residence										
Not on campus	47	24	3	59	21	23	42	9	42	
On campus	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Major category ^c										
Arts & humanities	26	26	0	33	19	24	38	10	45	
Biological sciences, agriculture, natural res.	59	44	5	36	26	48	48	10	38	
Physical sciences, math, computer science	50	31	3	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Social sciences	40	12	2	53	15	30	42	11	49	
Business	56	34	3	60	23	20	25	15	40	
Communications, media, public relations	45	0	0			_	-	-	-	
Education	—	—	-	80	27	0	40	0	27	
Engineering	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	
Health professions Social service professions	36	23	5	79	28 9	13	61 57	3	25 57	
Social service professions Undecided/undeclared	_	_	_	70	9	4	5/	4	57	
	40	22	2			_				
Overall	49	22	3	58	20	22	40	8	40	

Notes: Percentage of students who responded "Done or in progress" except for service-learning which is the percentage who responded that at least "Some" courses included a communitybased project. Percentages are not reported (---) for row categories containing fewer than 10 students. Results are unweighted, except for overall percentages which are weighted by sex and enrollment status.

a. Institution-reported variable.

b. Neither parent holds a bachelor's degree.

c. These are NSSE's default related-major categories, based on first major if more than one was reported. Institution-customized major categories will be included on the *Major Field Report*, to be released in the fall. Excludes majors categorized as "all other."