

**UMass Boston Renewal: Fidelity to Urban Mission  
Meeting Notes**

**Sub-committee: Academics**

**Date/Time of Meeting: October 11, 2006 2:30-4:00**

**Location: Troy Conference Room**

**Co-chairs: Donna Kuizenga; Bob Chen**

**Notetaker: Norma Robles, Admin. Asst to Dean Kuizenga**

**Attendees: Robert Crossley; Theresa Mortimer; Mary Stevenson; Jeffrey Burr; Roberta Wollons; Janet Wagner; Stephen Mrozowski; Alan Clayton-Matthews; Rick Houser; Denise Patmon; Jean-Pierre Kulboer; Judith Goleman; Cynthia Aber; Peter Langer**

**Excused: Karen Suyemoto; Kamal Bawa; Winston Langley**

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION/FINDINGS	DECISIONS	ACTION ITEMS
<b>Introductions</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Strategic Planning Summary</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Issues of Substance</b>	<b>15x10: Is this realistic? Is this non-negotiable?</b>	<b>This is our goal: how do we get there in way to preserve academic quality?</b>	
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Issues of Substance</b>	<b>Charge puts emphasis in inputs not outputs.</b>	<b>General Education Committee of Faculty Council will be charged with student learning outcomes assessment report.</b>	
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Issues of Substance</b>	<b>Curriculum is related to recruitment issues, and thus concern that curriculum not included in charge.</b>	<b>Curriculum will be discussed in relation to programs needed to attract students.</b>	
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Issues of Substance</b>	<b>Charge is skewed to faculty issues.</b>	<b>Do we need to change emphasis?</b>	
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Issues of Substance</b>	<b>Incompatibility of increase in size of student body and any reduced teaching load.</b>	<b>Problem to be resolved.</b>	

<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Issues of Substance</b>	<b>Concern about absence of retention issues; request for data on both faculty and student retention</b>	<b>Think about 15x10 principally in terms of actions needed to increase retention and targeting of growth to areas that have capacity</b>	<b>Request most recent reports on both faculty and student retention: Kuizenga</b>
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Practical Issues</b>	<b>Lack of clarity of level of specificity of recommendations.</b>		<b>Get clarification at October 16 Task Force meeting: Kuizenga</b>
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Practical Issues</b>	<b>Concern about feasibility of dealing with so many topics.</b>		<b>Get clarification at October 16 Task Force meeting: Kuizenga</b>
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Suggestions for approaches</b>	<b>Identify what values we want to preserve, what values we want to revise or change in some way.</b>		
<b>Proposed Committee Charge: Suggestions for approaches</b>	<b>Focus on an enhanced academic program with all the support necessary to maintain and improve quality of faculty research and teaching.</b>		